

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/028,859	12/19/2001	John W. Mates	42390P10920	4677
7.	590 11/03/2004		EXAM	INER
Cynthia Thomas Faatz			KIM, KENNETH S	
BLAKELY, SO	OKOLOFF, TAYLOR &	ZAFMAN LLP		
Seventh Floor			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
12400 Wilshire Boulevard			2111	
Los Angeles, CA 90025-1026			DATE MAILED 11/02/200	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/028,859	MATES, JOHN W.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Kenneth S KIM	2111				
The MAILING DATE of this communic Period for Reply	ation appears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commun - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statu - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply within the set or extended period f	ATION. 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a renication. days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty story period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT ill, by statute, cause the application to become ABA	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed	on 21 October 2004.					
· <u> </u>	o) This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for	, 					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-29 is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-29 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction	withdrawn from consideration.	KENNETH S. KIM PRIMARY EXAMINER				
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the second second sheet (s) including the second	a) accepted or b) objected to b ion to the drawing(s) be held in abeyand he correction is required if the drawing(s	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority do	ocuments have been received. ocuments have been received in Ap the priority documents have been r al Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 2111

1. Claims 1-29 remain for examination.

2. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because the current abstract does not reflect the inventive feature of the claimed invention to distinguish over the prior art. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

6. Claims 1-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Margolus et al, U.S. Patent No. 5,159,690, cited in the previous office action.

The rejection is respectfully maintained for the reason set forth in the previous office action incorporated herein by reference and for the reason that "various components being integrated on a single chip" does not provide a patentable distinction.

4. Applicant's arguments filed October 21, 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argued that the reference does not teach integration on a single chip of the memory, update engine, cellular automaton update unit and an execution unit to execute microprocessor instructions.

Examiner believes that "integration on a single chip" no longer provides a patentable distinction. The processors in the reference clearly execute microprocessor instructions, since processors operate by executing instructions.

5. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

Art Unit: 2111

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kenneth S KIM whose telephone number is (571) 272-3627. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:30-17:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark Rinehart can be reached on (571) 272-3632.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-2100

October 28, 2004

KENNETH S. KIM PRIMARY EXAMINER